

18. The later, the louder.

In this brief ride across the history of physics, some useful elements for the debate around the care-giving approaches already came through.

Our ancestors knew the circularity of the events, the necessity to take in consideration the occurring significations, the connections between the end and the beginning.

The principle of inertia affirms the permanence into one state until a force introduces new directions, introducing the cause-effect ratio, which was lately deepened so well by Spinoza, and opening suggestive reflections on concept as chronicity.

Newton asserted that the same force is responsible to hold (planets) up and to attract them down, enlightening the interrelation between the movements of a body (or a person), its given mass, and the occurring forces.

The formula of the interrelation between two observers, the observed object and their positions introduce the dimension and the concept of different ranks within the relation of care; it also focuses on the illusion of neutrality.

The principle of uncertainty discloses the inverse relation between the position of policy makers (far from the problems) and their awareness on what problems and needs really are, and vice versa.

The mass-energy concept enlightens that, as part of the context of care-giving, the care-worker is co-responsible with the person own structure for the net result of the challenge in changing a situation of need.

Quantum theory suggests the impossibility to solve a problem just by addiction. It also enlightens that if the vast majority of society does not take the responsibility on the weaker minority, it is quite

embarrassing to expect the other way around to happen.

Furthermore, it introduces how dangerous is the wait to see what might happen when stressing the silent compliance of the people who has the rights to have their needs fulfilled.

This is not only risky, and ethically suspect, it is an act of denial.

The history of human mankind shows how a denial of sufferance for unheard needs implies a silent oppression to force an addicted-alike compliance, and how it represents an implicit push for people to find other way to express their angst and hardships.

The later, the louder.